



Rural Development: Artificial Intelligence Integration in Village-Owned Enterprise Management

¹ M. Zaenul Muttaqin, ² Ilham*, ³ Usman Idris*, ⁴ Westim Ratang, ⁵ Eka Patmasari

¹State University of Makassar, Makassar, Indonesia

²Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia Email: ilhamparepos@gmail.com

³Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia Email: usmanidrish@gmail.com

⁴Cenderawasih University, Jayapura, Indonesia

⁵Puangrimaggalatung University, Wajo, Indonesia

Abstract: This study investigates the potential and challenges of Artificial Intelligence (AI) implementation in Indonesian Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDes). As technology increasingly influences economic development, understanding AI integration in rural micro-enterprises becomes crucial. Employing a qualitative approach with literature-based data, thematic analysis reveals AI's significant potential to enhance operational efficiency, data-driven decision-making, and service personalization in BUMDes. However, implementation faces substantial hurdles, including inadequate digital infrastructure, skill deficits, financial limitations, and socio-cultural factors. These findings underscore the necessity for a contextualized AI adoption approach, with technological adaptations aligned to local capacities and community values. This research contributes to rural digitalization and regional economic development literature, elucidating the complexities of advanced technology adoption in developing countries' rural contexts. Practical implications include recommendations for supportive policy development and structured capacity-building initiatives.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Digitalization, Rural Development, Village-Owned Enterprises.

Received: 12 May 2024 **Revised:** 06 June 2024 **Accepted:** 22 June 2024

1. Introduction

Rural poverty remains a persistent challenge in developing nations, including Indonesia, reflecting urban-centric development patterns and disproportionate resource allocation (Todaro & Smith, 2020; Hanandita & Tampubolon, 2016). In Indonesia, recent data indicates a stark disparity, with rural poverty rates (12.36%) significantly exceeding urban rates (7.53%) (Central Bureau of Statistics, 2023). Decentralization policies that aimed at empowering local communities in decision-making processes, have been implemented to address this imbalance (Patarai, 2020; Chen et al., 2021; Smith, 2023). The evolution of Indonesian village policies reflects this shift:

1. Pre-1979: Villages exercised sovereignty in political, economic, and cultural domains.
2. 1979-1999: Law No. 5/1979 homogenized villages, curtailing autonomy.
3. 1999-2014: Laws No. 22/1999 and 34/2004 recognized villages as legal entities with limited authority.
4. 2014-present: Law No. 6/2014 granted broader autonomy and substantial funding to villages.

In this context, Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDes) emerge as critical instruments for local economic development. Since 2014, BUMDes units have proliferated to 45,273 (Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration, 2021). However, implementation challenges persist, including inter-village capacity disparities and the need for adaptation to global economic dynamics.

The digital transformation era amplifies technology's role in rural economic development (Adamowicz & Zwolińska-Ligaj, 2020; Habiyaemye et al., 2020). BUMDes, as village economic entities, must adopt technological innovations to enhance efficiency and competitiveness (Permana et al., 2023; Wilopo et al., 2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI) presents transformative potential in this context. AI, defined as intelligent systems capable of performing tasks typically requiring human intelligence (Jiang et al., 2022), has demonstrated efficacy in improving operational efficiency and decision-making across various industries. However, AI implementations predominantly focus on urban contexts and large enterprises (Cowie et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2024). Integrating AI into BUMDes operations offers prospects for enhanced analytical capabilities, process automation, and data-driven decision-making. However, implementation faces unique challenges, including limited digital infrastructure and the necessity to integrate technology with local wisdom (Alabdali et al., 2023; Salemink et al., 2017).

This study aims to comprehensively explore AI's potential role in BUMDes management and identify specific implementation challenges in the rural economic environment. A qualitative approach is adopted, utilizing extensive document and literature analysis to elucidate the state-of-the-art in AI implementation within rural contexts.

2. Implementation of AI in BUMDes Management

The integration of AI in BUMDes management presents transformative potential alongside unique challenges. Machine learning techniques, as proposed by Chen et al. (2018), offer enhanced analytical capabilities for understanding local market trends. However, the efficacy of such approaches is contingent upon data availability and quality within BUMDes operations. In rural environments, where data collection practices may lack systematization, implementing advanced analytical systems poses significant challenges. Thus, the development of a rudimentary yet effective data collection infrastructure, aligned with BUMDes' operational characteristics and capacities, emerges as a critical initial step.

Predictive algorithms, such as logistic regression or decision trees, can be applied to forecast and adapt product demand to local contexts. However, the integration of unique factors—such as seasonal consumption patterns linked to agricultural cycles or local cultural events—is imperative for ensuring the relevance and accuracy of analytical outputs. The primary challenge lies in the capacity of BUMDes managers to effectively interpret and utilize these analytical results. Consequently, the development of intuitive user interfaces and comprehensive training programs is crucial for successful technology adoption.

Goralski and Tan (2022) discuss the potential of price optimization through dynamic pricing techniques to maximize BUMDes revenue. However, implementation in rural settings necessitates careful consideration. Rural communities typically exhibit heightened sensitivity to price fluctuations, and drastic or frequent changes may engender dissatisfaction or suspicion. Moreover, in small communities where social relations play a pivotal role in economic transactions, overly complex or perceived inequitable pricing systems may erode community trust in BUMDes. A more appropriate approach might involve developing a simplified pricing model that remains responsive to market conditions, with gradual and transparent price adjustments. It is imperative that pricing policies consider not only economic aspects but also social impacts on village communities.

In administrative process automation, Natural Language Processing (NLP) based chatbots for customer service, as proposed by Xu et al. (2021), offer potential improvements in service efficiency and consistency. However, implementation in BUMDes faces unique challenges. The linguistic diversity in rural Indonesia necessitates the development of highly adaptive NLP models capable of recognizing local linguistic variations. Additionally, rural communities' preference for direct, personal interactions may render chatbot adoption suboptimal if not carefully implemented. A more effective strategy may involve positioning chatbots as complementary to, rather than replacements for, human customer service, thereby preserving the element of personal interaction valued in rural communities.

Automatic recording systems utilizing Optical Character Recognition (OCR) technology and machine

learning, as described by Memon et al. (2020), offer significant potential for enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of BUMDes financial recording. However, implementation must account for variations in document format and quality encountered in the BUMDes environment. Developing a robust OCR system capable of processing diverse document types is crucial. Moreover, the integration of these automated systems with existing manual or simple digital recording practices must be executed judiciously to ensure a seamless transition and minimize operational disruptions.

3. Marketing and Human Resource Management

AI-based personalization techniques in marketing and customer engagement, as outlined by Pan et al. (2024) and Xie & He (2022), offer significant potential for enhancing the relevance and effectiveness of BUMDes promotional campaigns. However, implementation in rural environments necessitates consideration of several critical factors. *Firstly*, the availability and quality of consumer data in the BUMDes environment may be limited or poorly structured, potentially affecting the accuracy and effectiveness of personalization algorithms. Therefore, a crucial initial step involves developing a systematic yet simple data collection system appropriate to BUMDes' capacity and operational context. This may entail combining digital and traditional methods, such as basic customer surveys or loyalty programs tracking purchasing preferences. *Secondly*, rural consumer preferences and behaviors may diverge significantly from urban contexts where most personalization technologies are developed. Factors such as planting seasons, local cultural events, or village social dynamics can substantially influence consumption patterns. Consequently, personalization algorithms must be recalibrated to account for these contextual factors, ensuring recommendations are genuinely relevant and meaningful to rural consumers.

The application of sentiment analysis using NLP to process customer feedback offers valuable insights into consumer perception and satisfaction. However, implementation in BUMDes faces unique linguistic challenges. Indonesia's linguistic diversity can impede the development of accurate NLP models. A potential solution might involve developing NLP models capable of "learning" from local input, potentially involving local communities in data labeling for model training. AI-based recommendation systems for improving cross-selling and up-selling, as discussed by Chinchanchokchai et al. (2021), have the potential to increase BUMDes revenue. However, implementation requires adjustment to the typically more limited scale and variety of products or services in BUMDes compared to urban businesses. Focus may need to be placed on recommendations based not only on historical purchasing patterns but also accounting for seasonal or communal village needs. Besides, in human resource management, AI-based scheduling algorithms for optimizing labor allocation, as proposed by Cachat-Rosset & Klarsfeld (2023) and Tussyadiah (2020), offer potential for increasing BUMDes operational efficiency. However, implementation must consider the unique workforce dynamics in rural areas. Flexibility may be required to accommodate work patterns frequently influenced by agricultural activities or community events.

4. Challenges of AI Implementation in BUMDes

The implementation of AI in BUMDes presents a series of complex challenges encompassing technical, social, economic, and cultural dimensions unique to the Indonesian rural context. A primary obstacle to AI adoption in BUMDes is the limited technological infrastructure in rural areas. Robinson et al. (2020) and Salemink et al. (2017) identify restricted internet access and inadequate hardware as significant barriers to implementing AI solutions that typically require robust connectivity and computing capacity. This situation creates a fundamental dilemma: how can advanced technologies be implemented in an environment with limited basic infrastructure?

Addressing this challenge requires an innovative and adaptive approach. Potential solutions include developing AI applications capable of offline operation or with minimal bandwidth requirements, potentially involving edge computing techniques. Additionally, collaboration with telecommunications service providers and local governments to improve digital infrastructure in villages is crucial.

The second critical challenge is the significant digital skills gap among BUMDes managers and employees. Bughin, Catlin, et al. (2018) and Bughin, Seong, et al. (2018) highlight that AI implementation requires

adequate technical understanding, not only in system operation but also in output interpretation and data-driven decision-making. This poses a substantial challenge in Indonesia's rural environment, where digital literacy levels may be low. Addressing this skills gap necessitates a comprehensive training and capacity development program carefully designed to meet the specific needs and local context of BUMDes. Fountaine et al. (2019) suggest a curriculum encompassing AI basics, data analysis, and technology project management, focusing on both technical aspects and conceptual understanding of AI application to real BUMDes challenges.

The third significant challenge is financial. Agrawal et al. (2019) emphasize that high initial costs for implementing and maintaining AI systems can be a considerable obstacle for BUMDes, which generally operate with limited capital. As suggested by Davenport and Ronanki (2018), a gradual and planned approach becomes highly relevant to overcome these financial challenges. Multi-stakeholder collaboration, as proposed by Etzkowitz (2017), is critical in overcoming these challenges. Collaboration between BUMDes, regional governments, educational institutions, and the private sector can facilitate technology transfer, infrastructure development, and human resource capacity development.

5. Synopsis of the Main Research Outcomes

This study offers several novel contributions to research on AI implementation in rural environments, particularly in the management of Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDes) in Indonesia. It represents one of the initial efforts to contextualize specific AI applications for BUMDes, bridging a gap in existing literature that predominantly focuses on large companies or urban contexts (Cugurullo, 2020; Sanzogni et al., 2017; Yigitcanlar et al., 2021). Furthermore, this study proposes a gradual and contextual AI implementation framework for BUMDes, an aspect not widely discussed in previous literature. This framework considers resource and infrastructure limitations in rural areas, providing a more realistic and applicable approach. Contributing to the academic literature on AI and rural development, this study also provides valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners in optimizing AI potential for local economic development through BUMDes.

6. Conclusions

This study has comprehensively explored the potential and challenges of AI implementation in BUMDes in Indonesia. The analysis reveals that AI offers significant transformative potential for BUMDes, particularly in improving operational efficiency, data-driven decision-making, and service personalization. However, realizing this potential faces a series of contextual challenges reflecting the unique realities of rural Indonesia. The AI implementation in BUMDes requires a contextual approach accounting for variations in digital infrastructure, human resource capacity, and socio-cultural dynamics between regions. Limited technological infrastructure, digital skills gaps, and financial constraints emerge as significant obstacles, emphasizing the importance of substantial technological adaptation to meet BUMDes' specific needs and capacities. This study emphasizes the importance of a holistic approach in implementing AI in BUMDes, encompassing continuous human capacity development, organizational structure adaptation, and careful ethical considerations. Multi-stakeholder collaboration between BUMDes, government, academia, and the private sector is crucial in creating an ecosystem supporting sustainable innovation.

7. Limitations, Implications, and Further Directions of Research

This study, while offering valuable insights into AI implementation in BUMDes, is constrained by several limitations. The reliance on theoretical analysis and secondary data sources, without direct empirical evidence from BUMDes implementing AI solutions, potentially limits the practical applicability of the findings. The geographical focus on Indonesia, while providing depth, may restrict generalizability to other developing nations with diverse rural contexts. The rapid evolution of AI technology suggests that some identified challenges may become obsolete while new ones emerge, necessitating ongoing research. Additionally, the lack of primary data from key stakeholders, particularly BUMDes managers and rural community members, may result in incomplete perspectives. The absence of quantitative economic impact assessments and in-depth analysis of specific AI applications limits the study's technical depth and policy

implications. Future research addressing these limitations through empirical studies, comparative analyses, and more specialized technical investigations would significantly enhance our understanding of AI's role in rural economic development via entities like BUMDes.

The implications of these findings extend to public policy and local economic development theory, highlighting the need for an adaptive and supportive regulatory framework accommodating BUMDes' unique characteristics and rural dynamics. Theoretically, this study contributes to literature on rural digitalization and local economic development by elucidating the nuances and complexities in advanced technology adoption in developing countries' rural contexts.

While providing valuable insights, this study also paves the way for further research. Longitudinal studies assessing the long-term impact of AI implementation in BUMDes, development of AI models tailored to rural economies, and exploration of ethical and social implications of AI adoption in rural communities emerge as promising areas for future investigation.

Compliance with ethical standards

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by the author. Extracting and inspecting publicly accessible files (scholarly sources) as evidence, before the research began no institutional ethics approval was required.

Data availability statement

All data generated or analyzed are included in the published article.

Funding information

None.

Author contributions

The authors confirm being the sole contributor of this work and approved it for publication. The authors take full responsibility for the accuracy and the integrity of the data analysis.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Adamowicz, M., & Zwolińska-Ligaj, M. (2020). The “Smart Village” as a way to achieve sustainable development in rural areas of Poland. *Sustainability*, 12(16), 6503. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12166503>
- [2] Agrawal, A., Gans, J. S., & Goldfarb, A. (2019). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence: Prediction versus judgment. *Information Economics and Policy*, 47, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2019.05.001>
- [3] Alabdali, S. A., Pileggi, S. F., & Cetindamar, D. (2023). Influential factors, enablers, and barriers to adopting smart technology in rural regions: A literature review. *Sustainability*, 15(10), 7908. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15107908>
- [4] Antlöv, H., Wetterberg, A., & Dharmawan, L. (2016). Village governance, community life, and the 2014 village law in Indonesia. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 52(2), 161–183. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2015.1129047>
- [5] Arifin, B., Wicaksono, E., Tenrini, R. H., Wardhana, I. W., Setiawan, H., Damayanty, S. A., Solikin, A., Suhendra, M., Saputra, A. H., & Ariutama, G. A. (2020). Village fund, village-owned-enterprises, and employment: Evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 79, 382–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2020.08.052>
- [6] Asmuni, Rohim, & Trihartono, A. (2020). Minimizing brain drain: How BumDes holds the best resources in

the villages. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 485(1), 0–7. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/485/1/012011>

- [7] Bughin, J., Catlin, T., Hirt, M., & Willmott, P. (2018). Why digital strategies fail. *McKinsey Quarterly*, 1(1), 14–25.
- [8] Bughin, J., Seong, J., Manyika, J., Chui, M., & Joshi, R. (2018). Notes from the AI frontier: Modeling the impact of AI on the world economy. *McKinsey Global Institute*, 4(1).
- [9] Cachat-Rosset, G., & Klarsfeld, A. (2023). Diversity, equity, and inclusion in artificial intelligence: an evaluation of guidelines. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 37(1), 2176618. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08839514.2023.2176618>
- [10] Central Bureau of Statistics. (2023) Poverty Profile in Indonesia March 2023. <https://www.bps.go.id/id/pressrelease/2023/07/17/2016/profil-kemiskinan-di-indonesia-maret-2023.html>
- [11] Chen, B., Coggins, C., Minor, J., & Zhang, Y. (2018). Fengshui forests and village landscapes in China: Geographic extent, socioecological significance, and conservation prospects. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 31, 79–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2017.12.011>
- [12] Chen, Y., Richter, J. I., & Patel, P. C. (2021). Decentralized governance of digital platforms. *Journal of Management*, 47(5), 1305–1337. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320916755>
- [13] Chinchanchokchai, S., Thontirawong, P., & Chinchanchokchai, P. (2021). A tale of two recommender systems: The moderating role of consumer expertise on artificial intelligence based product recommendations. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 61, 102528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102528>
- [14] Cowie, P., Townsend, L., & Salemin, K. (2020). Smart rural futures: Will rural areas be left behind in the 4th industrial revolution? *Journal of Rural Studies*, 79, 169–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2020.08.042>
- [15] Cugurullo, F. (2020). Urban artificial intelligence: From automation to autonomy in the smart city. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*, 2, 38. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frsc.2020.00038>
- [16] Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(1), 108–116.
- [17] Etzkowitz, H. (2017). *The triple helix: University–industry–government innovation and entrepreneurship*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315620183>
- [18] Floridi, L. (2018). Soft ethics, the governance of the digital and the General Data Protection Regulation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 376(2133), 20180081. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2018.0081>
- [19] Fountaine, T., McCarthy, B., & Saleh, T. (2019). Building the AI-powered organization. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(4), 62–73.
- [20] Goralski, M. A., & Tan, T. K. (2022). Artificial intelligence and poverty alleviation: Emerging innovations and their implications for management education and sustainable development. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 20(3), 100662. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2022.100662>
- [21] Gupta, A. K., Sinha, R., Koradia, D., Patel, R., Parmar, M., Rohit, P., Patel, H., Patel, K., Chand, V. S., & James, T. J. (2003). Mobilizing grassroots' technological innovations and traditional knowledge, values and institutions: articulating social and ethical capital. *Futures*, 35(9), 975–987. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-3287\(03\)00053-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-3287(03)00053-3)
- [22] Habiaryemye, A., Kruss, G., & Booyens, I. (2020). Innovation for inclusive rural transformation: The role of the state. In *Innovation and Development* (Vol. 10, Issue 2, pp. 155–168). Taylor & Francis.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/2157930X.2019.1596368>

- [23] Hanandita, W., & Tampubolon, G. (2016). Multidimensional poverty in Indonesia: Trend over the last decade (2003–2013). *Social Indicators Research*, 128, 559–587. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-015-1044-0>
- [24] Imanuella, S. F., Idris, A., & Kamaruddin, N. (2024). Social entrepreneurship and rural development in post-independence Indonesia. *Social Enterprise Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SEJ-12-2023-0155>
- [25] Ingalagi, S. S., Mutkekar, R. R., & Kulkarni, P. M. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI) adaptation: Analysis of determinants among Small to Medium-sized Enterprises (SME's). *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 1049(1), 12017. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1049/1/012017>
- [26] Jiang, Y., Li, X., Luo, H., Yin, S., & Kaynak, O. (2022). Quo vadis artificial intelligence? *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, 2(1), 4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-022-00022-8>
- [27] Memon, J., Sami, M., Khan, R. A., & Uddin, M. (2020). Handwritten optical character recognition (OCR): A comprehensive systematic literature review (SLR). *IEEE Access*, 8, 142642–142668. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3012542>
- [28] Muttaqin, M. Z., Suryanti, M. S. D., & Rohim, R. (2023). Entertaining Development from Downstream:: Village-Owned Enterprises, Women's Empowerment, and Information Technology in Binor Probolinggo Village and Pujon Kidul Village, Indonesia. In *Empowering Women in the Digital Economy* (pp. 71–90). Productivity Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003302346-4>
- [29] Pan, H., Wei, T., & Li, L. (2024). A strategy study based on artificial intelligence data analysis of rural governance into tourism industry development research. *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.2478/amns.2023.2.00212>
- [30] Patarai, M. I. (2020). *Kebijakan publik daerah: Posisi dan dimensinya dalam perspektif desentralisasi kebijakan* (Vol. 1). De La Macca.
- [31] Permana, O., Sutono, A., Rahtomo, W., & Ristanto, H. (2023). The Role of Bumdes (Village-Owned Enterprises) in Strengthening the Tourism Village Business Ecosystem: Sumberbulu Tourism Village Case Study. *Archives of Business Research*, 11(4). <https://doi.org/10.14738/abr.114.14348>
- [32] Qin, Y., Xu, Z., Wang, X., & Skare, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence and economic development: An evolutionary investigation and systematic review. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 15(1), 1736–1770. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01183-2>
- [33] Rist, S., Chidambaranathan, M., Escobar, C., Wiesmann, U., & Zimmermann, A. (2007). Moving from sustainable management to sustainable governance of natural resources: The role of social learning processes in rural India, Bolivia and Mali. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 23(1), 23–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2006.02.006>
- [34] Robinson, L., Schulz, J., Blank, G., Ragnedda, M., Ono, H., Hogan, B., Mesch, G. S., Cotten, S. R., Kretchmer, S. B., & Hale, T. M. (2020). Digital inequalities 2.0: Legacy inequalities in the information age. *First Monday*, 25(7). <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v25i7.10842>
- [35] Rohim, Asmuni, Sunarsiyani, F. E., Makmun, S., Muttaqin, M. Z., Suryanti, M. S. D., & Evendi, A. (2022). Common Thread: The Management of Village-Owned Enterprises and Women's Empowerment. *Sustainability and Climate Change*, 15(3), 166–169. <https://doi.org/10.1089/scc.2022.0007>
- [36] Salemink, K., Strijker, D., & Bosworth, G. (2017). Rural development in the digital age: A systematic literature review on unequal ICT availability, adoption, and use in rural areas. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 54, 360–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2015.09.001>
- [37] Sanzogni, L., Guzman, G., & Busch, P. (2017). Artificial intelligence and knowledge management: questioning the tacit dimension. *Prometheus*, 35(1), 37–56. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08109028.2017.1364547>

- [38] Sari, N., Utama, R., Hidayat, A. R. T., & Zamrony, A. B. (2017). Creating kampong as tourist attractions. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 70(1), 12057. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/669/1/012057>
- [39] Shucksmith, M., & Brown, D. L. (2016). Framing rural studies in the global north. In *Routledge international handbook of rural studies* (pp. 1–26). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315753041-11>
- [40] Smith, B. C. (2023). *Decentralization: The territorial dimension of the state*. Taylor & Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003404927>
- [41] Soni, N., Sharma, E. K., Singh, N., & Kapoor, A. (2020). Artificial intelligence in business: from research and innovation to market deployment. *Procedia Computer Science*, 167, 2200–2210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.03.272>
- [42] Tang, W., & Zhu, J. (2020). Informality and rural industry: Rethinking the impacts of E-Commerce on rural development in China. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 75, 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2020.02.010>
- [43] Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2020). *Economic development*. Pearson UK.
- [44] Tussyadiah, I. (2020). A review of research into automation in tourism: Launching the Annals of Tourism Research Curated Collection on Artificial Intelligence and Robotics in Tourism. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 81, 102883. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2020.102883>
- [45] Wamba-Taguimdje, S.-L., Wamba, S. F., Kamdjoug, J. R. K., & Wanko, C. E. T. (2020). Influence of artificial intelligence (AI) on firm performance: the business value of AI-based transformation projects. *Business Process Management Journal*, 26(7), 1893–1924. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-10-2019-0411>
- [46] Wilopo, W., Heru, S., Mohammad, I., Fahrudi, A. N. L. I., Rizal, A., & Brillyanes, S. (2021). *Business innovation: the development of bumdes based on social and business orientation*.
- [47] Wowor, M., Singkoh, F., & Waworundeng, W. (2019). Pengelolaan Badan Usaha Milik Desa (Bumdes) Dalam Peningkatan Pendapatan Asli Desa Kamanga Kecamatan Tompaso. *Jurnal Eksekutif*, 3(3).
- [48] Xie, D., & He, Y. (2022). Marketing strategy of rural tourism based on big data and artificial intelligence. *Mobile Information Systems*, 2022(1), 9154351. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9154351>
- [49] Xu, N., Ma, L., Wang, L., Deng, Y., & Ni, G. (2021). Extracting domain knowledge elements of construction safety management: Rule-based approach using Chinese natural language processing. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 37(2), 4021001. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ME.1943-5479.0000870](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000870)
- [50] Yigitcanlar, T., Corchado, J. M., Mehmood, R., Li, R. Y. M., Mossberger, K., & Desouza, K. (2021). Responsible urban innovation with local government artificial intelligence (AI): A conceptual framework and research agenda. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7(1), 71. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc7010071>